CHECKLIST FOR PRIMARY BILIARY CHOLANGITIS (PBC)

persistent thrombocytopenia)
Complete biliary obstruction

Initial Prior Authorization (PA) for Second-Line Treatment

Leverage this checklist to organize all materials needed to submit an Initial PA for second-line treatment. We recommend you attach any and all additional information associated with laboratory values or procedures (i.e., biopsy results) when requesting a PA.¹

Note: This checklist should help to collect information for most PAs.² It is recommended to check with each plan to ensure specific requirements are addressed.²

Patient Diagnosis With ICD-10 Code:		
Medication and Strength Requested:		
Dosing Schedule:		Quantity per Month:
ALL REQUESTS Please list the medications the patient has previously tried and	failed for the treatm	ent of this diagnosis1:
	Date range:	
	Date range:	
	Date range:	
Is the patient currently treated with the requested agent?		Yes No
Does the patient have any of the following contraindications?	,3,4 (Check all that a	pply)
Decompensated cirrhosis (e.g., Child-Pugh Class B or C)		
Prior decompensation event [i.e., laboratory or clinical evidence, jaundice, variceal bleeding, hepatic encephalopath	·	compensation (e.g.,
Compensated cirrhosis with evidence of portal hypertensi	on (e.g., ascites, gas	troesophageal varices,



INITIAL REQUESTS		
What is the patient's baseline (within 90 days before treatment initiation) alkaline phosphate (ALP) level?		
Is the second-line therapy prescribed by a gastroenterologist or hepatologist?	Yes	No
Please select the patient's diagnosis and answer any corresponding ques	tions:	
Primary Biliary Cholangitis		
Is the patient's PBC confirmed by any of the following? ³ Check all that apply.		
History of an increased ALP [i.e., greater than the upper limit of normal (ULN) for at	least 6 m	onths]
Presence of anti-mitochondrial antibodies (AMA)		
A negative or low AMA titer AND the presence of PBC-specific antibodies*		
Liver biopsy showing histologic evidence consistent with PBC (i.e., non-suppurative cholangitis and destruction of interlobular bile ducts)	destructiv	/e
Other (Please specify):		
Has the patient tried treatment with ursodiol for at least 1 year of continuous treatment? ³	Yes	No
If yes: Did the patient have an inadequate response to the maximally tolerated dose of ursodiol? [†]	Yes	No
If inadequate response, please define:		
If yes: Was the ursodiol dose less than 13 mg/kg/day?	Yes	No
If yes: Please explain why a higher dosage was not utilized:		
Will the patient be using the second-line therapy in combination with ursodiol? ³	Yes	No

If no: Has the patient experienced persistent intolerable adverse effects to ursodiol, despite dosage reduction and other management interventions, which necessitates the complete discontinuation of ursodiol treatment?	Yes	No
If yes: Please explain the specific adverse effect leading to the discontinuation of urso	diol:	

*Anti-GP210 and/or anti-SP100 and/or antibodies against the major M2 components (PDC-E2, 2-oxo-glutaric acid dehydrogenase complex).
†An inadequate response is defined as an elevated ALP or total bilirubin > ULN.



^{1.} Formulary Navigator. https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=&ved=2ahUKEwjS_silhpL6AhWUhYkEHVuNBBgQFnoECAOQAQ&url=https%3A%2F%2Ffm.formularynavigator.com%2FFormularyNavigator%2FDocumentManager%2FDownload%3FclientDocumentId%3DqWDR5gCN5kyyJkc4QC1LlQ&usg=AOvVaw3ogfeuF4OwJp0vn2wD01ZL. Accessed September 13, 2022.

 $[\]textbf{2.} \ \ \text{ReferralMD Website. https://getreferralmd.com/2020/06/5-reasons-why-prior-authorizations-are-challenging/.} \ \ \ \text{Accessed September 13, 2022.}$

^{3.} Lindor KD, et al. *Hepatol.* 2018; https://www.aasld.org/sites/default/files/2022-04/PracticeGuidelines-PBC-November2018_1.pdf. Accessed September 13, 2022.

^{4.} Lindor KD, et al. *Hepatol.* 2022;75:1012-1013.